

PLANNING BOARD
TOWN OF COLONIE

COUNTY OF ALBANY

THE CONTINUED HEARING REGARDING THE PROPOSED BOGHT
COMMUNITY FIREHOUSE AT CANTERBURY CROSSING

THE TAPED AND TRANSCRIBED MINUTES of the above
entitled proceeding BY NANCY STRANG-VANDEBOGART
commencing on September 8, 2009 at 8:46 p.m. at the
Public Operations Center 347 Old Niskayuna Road,
Latham, New York 12110

BOARD MEMBERS:

JEAN DONOVAN, CHAIRPERSON
TOM NARDACCI
MICHAEL SULLIVAN
ELENA VAIDA
CHARLES J. O'ROURKE
PETER STUTO, Jr. Esq., Attorney for the Planning
Board

Also present:

Joseph LaCivita, Director, Planning and Economic
Development

Kevin DeLaughter, Planning and Economic Development

Brad Grant, Barton & Loguidice

Lynn Sipperly, Sipperly and Associates

1 CHAIRPERSON DONOVAN: Okay next on the
2 agenda is Boght Community Firehouse at
3 Canterbury Crossing.

4 I wasn't here for the last meeting. I
5 guess it was a little exciting.

6 So, let me understand this, before you
7 begin tonight. We were looking to see what we
8 had to do in relation to the SEQRA and I
9 believe that Kevin, you can explain that to
10 the board. We also have amended PDD finding
11 statements that the board has to adopt
12 tonight.

13 Those are the two points that I think
14 that were discussed at the last meeting,
15 Mr. Sipperly?

16 MR. SIPPERLY: Yes.

17 CHAIRPERSON DONOVAN: Kevin, do you want
18 to address the SEQRA?

19 MR. DELAUGHTER: Basically you did
20 provide for the board a draft of the SEQRA
21 analysis for determination. The short version
22 is based on the facts before us in comparison
23 to the original application and the SEQRA
24 determination that the proposed amendment is
25 consistent with the supplemental GEIS and the
statement of findings that was filed pursuant
to that supplemental. No further SEQRA action
is required as long as the board concurs with
that conclusion.

CHAIRPERSON DONOVAN: So, would it be
your opinion that the board should take a vote
on your analysis - what you just presented
this evening that the board does not have to
take any more action in relation to SEQRA?

MR. DELAUGHTER: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON DONOVAN: Tom, do you have
any questions about that process?

MR. NARDACCI: I don't.

CHAIRPERSON DONOVAN: Counsel?

MR. STUTO: No, I agree with what Kevin
said.

CHAIRPERSON DONOVAN: Elena?

MS. VAIDA: No.

MR. O'ROURKE: I agreed two weeks ago.

CHAIRPERSON DONOVAN: Michael?

MR. SULLIVAN: No.

1 CHAIRPERSON DONOVAN: All right. So do I
2 have a motion on the SEQRA analysis and
3 determination as presented by Mr. DeLaughter
4 that was in our packets this evening?

5 I don't know if we wanted to read the
6 entire thing into the record. It's up to what
7 our counsel recommends.

8 MR. STUTO: I don't think that it's
9 necessary to read it into the record.

10 MR. O'ROURKE: I will make that
11 appropriate motion.

12 MR. NARDACCI: I'll second.

13 CHAIRPERSON DONOVAN: All those in favor?

14 **(Ayes were recited.)**

15 CHAIRPERSON DONOVAN: Opposed?

16 **(None were opposed.)**

17 CHAIRPERSON DONOVAN: Kevin, I'll sign
18 this here this evening and give it to you.
19 Will that be what you need?

20 MR. DELAUGHTER: I have the original here
21 that I'll pass down for your signature.

22 CHAIRPERSON DONOVAN: Okay, thank you.
23 Brad, the next step?

24 MR. CLARK: There was created a new PDD
25 findings statement which reflects the
substitution of a proposed shared service
emergency services facility in lieu of a
30,000 square foot office building. The
revised PDD statement has a lot of similarity
to the original one that discusses the
residential portions of the project that have
not changed. There was some information in the
SEQRA that was able to be used but
essentially, we've looked at the potential
impacts from the firehouse and the EMS
facility as being less than a 30,000 square
foot office building. That's basically it.

CHAIRPERSON DONOVAN: I agree with your
conclusion.

Tom, do you have any questions of Brad in
relation to the amended PDD findings?

MR. NARDACCI: I just have a quick
comment.

Brad, I think that we knew pretty much
knew where this was headed last week. I think
that because of the size of the project and
the fact that we've been talking about this

1 project since I've been on the board is
2 important.

3 I think as far as community benefits are
4 concerned with regard to a PDD, I wish the
5 fire services was a part of the original
6 application but it's here now. I support this
7 and think it will be an asset to all the new
8 development.

9 CHAIRPERSON DONOVAN: Thanks, Tom.
10 Elena?

11 MS. VAIDA: I don't have anything.
12 Thank you very much for doing this.

13 CHAIRPERSON DONOVAN: C.J.?

14 MR. O'ROURKE: Brad, thanks for your
15 quick look at this. I like it way better.

16 MR. CLARK: I do, too.

17 CHAIRPERSON DONOVAN: Michael?

18 MR. SULLIVAN: Thank you, Brad and thank
19 you to Archmont Knolls as well. It was a great
20 job.

21 CHAIRPERSON DONOVAN: Joe?

22 MR. LACIVITA: Nothing here.

23 CHAIRPERSON DONOVAN: Do I have a motion
24 of the amended PDD findings statement
25 regarding Canterbury Crossing Planned
Development District?

MR. O'ROURKE: I'll make that motion.

CHAIRPERSON DONOVAN: Thank you, C.J.
Do I have a second?

MR. SULLIVAN: I'll second it.

CHAIRPERSON DONOVAN: All those in favor?
(Ayes were recited.)

CHAIRPERSON DONOVAN: Opposed?

(There were none opposed.)

CHAIRPERSON DONOVAN: There you go.

21

22

23

24

25

**(Whereas the proceeding concerning the
above entitled matter was adjourned at
8:51 p.m.)**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CERTIFICATION

I, NANCY STRANG-VANDEBOGART, Notary Public in and for the State of New York, hereby CERTIFY that the record taped and transcribed by me at the time and place noted in the heading hereof is a true and accurate transcript of same, to the best of my ability and belief.

NANCY STRANG-VANDEBOGART

Dated October 10, 2009